A photograph of a line of cars in traffic at night. The cars are in a queue, with their red brake lights illuminated. The scene is captured from a low angle, looking down the length of the line of vehicles. The background is dark, with some blurred lights from buildings and traffic signals, suggesting an urban environment.

By Barry P. Goldberg

# California Car Culture: Auto Liability and Driving Responsibly

In days past, even without many paved roads, California was concerned about the automobile taking over the state and causing injury and damage. That takeover has come to pass, and legislation is finally taking shape that would protect the state's responsible drivers.

**E**VERY DRIVER IN CALIFORNIA DRIVER KNOWS that one must maintain the mandated minimum auto liability limit of at least \$15,000 per person, \$30,000 per accident, and \$5,000 property damage limit or risk suspension of driving privileges.

Every person who has been in a significant accident—and every personal injury lawyer in the state—knows that California’s ‘15/30/5’ mandate is hopelessly too low and outdated.

With good reason, daily we see accident victims going without adequate compensation for injuries, medical bills, and property damage losses.

In fact, the state’s minimums were established in 1974 and have not increased in nearly half a century.

However, help is on the way as the legislature has finally raised the minimum limits and Governor Newsom has signed it into law. This was accomplished during a tidal wave of new laws being signed and without adequate fanfare and public education.

Effective January 1, 2025, California will once again become a leader with the passage of SB 1107—\$30,000 per person, \$60,000 per accident, and \$15,000 for property damage. These revised amounts are expected to be adequate for most “standard” automobile accident claims.

The delay gives the insurers sufficient time to calculate rates and apply for the premium increases.

Inserted into the law is a built-in increase on January 1, 2035, to \$20,000 and \$40,000 for bodily injury or death of one person and all persons, respectively, and by \$10,000 for property damage.

Although the increases seem only logical and natural, the new minimums will have wide ranging impact on all California drivers, including higher rates and more uninsured drivers.

### Addressing “Irresponsible Drivers”

In days past, even without many paved roads, California was concerned about the automobile taking over the state and causing injury and damage.

There is a true story about a small town that had just two automobiles, which crashed into each other causing serious injuries.

California first enacted a financial responsibility law in 1929, which, like those that followed, required all drivers to be “*financially responsible*”—usually by means of insurance—for any injury they caused while driving.



**Barry P. Goldberg** has more than 35 years of experience as a personal injury attorney with a particular emphasis on automobile accidents and insurance coverage. A Past President of the San Fernando Valley Bar Association, his practice is based in Woodland Hills. He can be reached at [bpg@barrygoldberg.com](mailto:bpg@barrygoldberg.com).

However, enforcement of the requirement was triggered only when the driver was at fault in an accident causing either bodily injury, or property damage more than \$100. This was later amended to \$200.

Even then, there was no sanction for failing to have insurance if the driver was able to post a bond in an amount determined by the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) to be sufficient to meet the likely liability.

Failure to either post a bond or provide proof of financial responsibility resulted in the suspension of driving privileges.

The law was largely ineffective, with Californians running a significant risk of death, injury, and substantial property damage without the ability to receive any compensation. In fact, the law—not being a compulsory insurance program—allowed every motorist one accident before having to prove the ability to pay for any damages they may have caused.

With the explosive increase in motor vehicle ownership and traffic in California after World War II—especially so in the San Fernando Valley, the nation’s first true suburb—the risk of traffic collisions resulting in death or serious injuries to innocent victims became a serious social problem.

In 1959, the situation was basically declared an emergency because about 4 percent of the state’s drivers were uninsured with the financial losses that ensued deemed unacceptable.

### New Era, New Law

Rather than mandate liability insurance, California enacted one of the nation’s first “*Uninsured Motorist Laws*.”<sup>1</sup>

The basic purpose of the uninsured motorist statute was to minimize losses to those who are involved in accidents with uninsured or financially irresponsible motorists.

Under the statute, at least some coverage is afforded an insured person with injuries caused by an uninsured or underinsured motorist.

The effect of the statute was to guarantee to insured motorists the minimum financial responsibility under their own policy for injuries resulting from a collision with another party who either has no automobile liability insurance or has insurance with insufficient limits.

Fast forward through the 1960’s into the 1970’s, California car culture had taken over and California smog and traffic had become legendary. With the increased dependence on motor vehicles, both the number of “*financially irresponsible*” drivers and drivers that chose to

**LIFE INSURANCE IS ONE OF  
THE MOST IMPORTANT FINANCIAL  
PLANNING INSTRUMENTS YOU OWN.**

**IS YOUR  
LIFE INSURANCE  
POLICY A**

**TICKING TIME BOMB?**

**WE'RE WILLING TO BET YOUR POLICY  
IS **NOT** WHAT YOU THINK IT IS!**

**The Life  
Insurance  
Audit™**  
*Thinking you are covered  
is not the same as knowing*



**The Life Insurance Audit™**  
is a proven, objective system,  
which ensures clients have the  
best possible insurance solution  
available in the market today.  
Most people have no idea of the  
negative impact to their policies.  
**We'll figure it out!**

**If you want  
to know the facts,  
call us at 1.800.914.3564  
LifeAudit@CorpStrat.com  
We'll tell you!**



**CorpStrat**

INSURANCE • EMPLOYEE BENEFITS • HR • PAYROLL

CALL: #6035790

delete uninsured motorist coverage grew to a once again emergency level where Californians were at huge risk of death, injury, and substantial property damage without the ability to receive fair compensation.

In 1974, the financial responsibility law was amended to require the posting of a bond or the filing of proof of financial responsibility whenever a driver was involved in an accident resulting in either bodily injury, or property damage exceeding \$200, regardless of fault.<sup>2</sup>

Other than commercial fleets, that mandatory law meant that drivers possess liability insurance in order to drive in California.

The minimum limits were \$15,000 per person, \$30,000 per accident, and \$5,000 property damage limit, and it was anticipated that these minimum limits would easily cover most, if not all, accident claims for years to come.

A little perspective. In 1974, the average house cost \$10,990, and the median income hovered around \$13,900 per year, while the average price of a new car was \$3,750.00, a gallon of gas cost 55 cents, and medical costs were a mere fraction of what they are today.

Consequently, jury verdicts and settlements were a small percentage of what we see today. In effect, that year, California motorists were well—protected by the state's required minimum limits.

Ten years later, the Legislature, still concerned that too many motorists still were not "*financially responsible*," enacted the Robbins-McAlister Financial Responsibility Act (1984 Act).

In addition to the requirements of prior enactments, the new Act allowed a peace officer to request proof of financial responsibility "*whenever a notice to appear is issued*" for any alleged moving violation with the failure to provide such proof itself an infraction.

However, if it is established that the driver was actually financially responsible at the time in question—notwithstanding the lack of written evidence—the citation would be dismissed. If such proof was not forthcoming, the driver was subject to a fine ranging from \$100 to \$240.

Moreover, within 60 days of that conviction, the driver is required to provide proof of financial responsibility—and maintain it for three years—or the individual's driver's license would be suspended.

The law was largely a failure because it was selectively enforced, and the number of uninsured motorists continued to rise.

Many attempts have been made over the past 30+ years to both raise the state minimum liability limits and address uninsured motorists, but all efforts have failed or been blocked with the insurance industry seemingly content with the relatively low minimum limits.

Insurers pay the minimums on large claims and save adjustment costs, while, at the same time, rates have steadily increased.

## California's Present "Emergency"

It is estimated that between 16 percent and 20 percent of all California drivers are uninsured. This translates into between 2 and 4 million uninsured drivers on the road.

In some neighborhoods, the number of uninsured drivers are as high as 80 percent. That means that, any any given time, there is a 1-in-5 chance that a driver involved in an accident in California is uninsured, while numerous studies have shown that uninsured drivers are more likely to cause accidents, injuries, and death.

Consensus among San Fernando Valley personal injury lawyers is that a whopping 50 percent of all accidents are either uninsured or underinsured.

Although the exact numbers are not known, most California drivers appear to purchase the minimum liability limits 15/30/5, or, in other words, not enough liability coverage in most instances.

California was among only three states with the lowest financial responsibility limits in the country.

Consider that the average new car in the state today is about \$40,000, or more than 10 times the average cost in 1974, with a scratch on a bumper that can cost \$5,000, and a visit to the emergency room, and reasonable follow-up care, can eat up a \$15,000 limit without regard to lost earnings, pain, and suffering.

Had the 1974 minimums been adjusted for cost-of-living increases reflected in the Consumer Price Indexes, the 2022 minimums would be about \$50,000 per person, \$100,000 per accident and \$20,000 property damage, or probably enough to handle 98 percent of all accident claims.

## "Underinsured Motorist" On the Rise

There is a basic calculation to determine if a claim is legally "*underinsured*."

It is a simple matter of comparing the insured's Uninsured Motorist Liability limits to the available third-party liability limits.

If the Uninsured Motorist limits are higher than the amount of the available third-party liability limits, the uninsured motorist coverage transforms into "underinsured" motorist coverage for the difference between the two policies.

For example, if the third-party maintains the current state minimum of \$15,000 per person and the insured maintains a higher uninsured motorist liability limit of \$50,000 per person, then there will be an additional \$35,000 of available coverage over and above the \$15,000 minimum.

Underinsured Motorist cases have become cumbersome for both the insurers and personal injury practitioners because the rules are fragmented and confusing with many very experienced counsels now handling underinsured motorist claims—and all that comes with them—for the very first time.

ADR Services, Inc. Proudly Welcomes

**Hon. Paul T. Suzuki** (Ret.)

**MEDIATOR • ARBITRATOR • REFEREE**

**Probate Specialist**



## Areas of Expertise:

- Probate of Estate Contests
- Trust Contests
- Undue Influence and Elder Abuse
- Spousal Petition Contests
- Conservatorships
- Guardianships

(213) 683-1600



For more information and scheduling,

please contact Howard Chiu at [howard@adrservices.com](mailto:howard@adrservices.com)  
<https://www.adrservices.com/neutrals/suzuki-paul/>

## California's New Minimums

On September 29, 2022, Governor Newsom signed SB 1107—one of hundreds of new laws signed all at once to avoid time out vetoes—into law without much fanfare.

Effective in 2025, California will once again become a national leader with the passage of SB 1107, which sets new auto insurance minimums of \$30,000 per person, \$60,000 per accident, and \$15,000 for property damage—revised amounts that are expected to be adequate for most “standard” automobile accident claims.

However, one can see that the increased amounts do not keep up with the cost-of-living increases of the original 1974 minimums.

Inserted into the law is a built-in increase that takes effect on January 1, 2035, of \$20,000 and \$40,000 for bodily injury or death of one person and all persons, respectively, and \$10,000 for property damage, \$50,000 per person, \$100,000 per accident, and \$25,000 for property damage.

The future increase was made part of the bill to avoid the 50-year deadlock that created our current auto liability insurance “*emergency*.”

The effect of the new minimums will be widespread. First and most obvious, is that auto insurance rates will rise rather dramatically. Initial estimates speculated that the cost of a minimum policies would rise by about \$400.

However, those estimates are probably low for several reasons as insurers will be paying out more on claims, and will no longer be able to quickly cap their losses at \$15,000 for many claims. Second, the cost of adjusting claims will rise significantly with insurers loading more work on to adjusters for claims in excess of \$15,000.

Such costs will include costs of investigators, defense attorneys, and defense medical exams. Third, more cases will be filed in order to obtain in excess of \$15,000 which, under the current minimums, is avoided by the insurer simply paying the low limits.

An undesired by-product of higher insurance rates is that the number of uninsured motorist cases will rise dramatically.

California drivers are currently struggling to pay for liability insurance, and, with higher costs for insurance, it is axiomatic that as fewer people will be able to afford insurance, the number of uninsured motorists will rise.

In addition, these higher rates will appear as Californians are experiencing the impacts of significant inflation.

Many will choose to pay rent or feed their families, rather than pay for insurance.

Several consumer groups opposed SB 1107 contending that it would disproportionately affect the poor and create more uninsured, while, in fact, those same groups have, for decades, successfully blocked all legislation to raise minimum limits.

By 2022, even insurers had come to realize that having the same limits as in 1974 was unsustainable in the long run, and was simply not good for business with the backlash becoming palpable from injured consumers being routinely shortchanged after their accidents.


## A Dangerous Gamble

Although there will undoubtedly be an increase in the number of uninsured claims, in all likelihood there will be fewer “underinsured” claims because the third-party minimum limits will be higher and be able to cover most claims.

To anticipate the coming changes, it is recommended that all California drivers make certain that they have uninsured motorist coverage.

Deleting such coverage is a dangerous gamble that, over time, will significantly increase the risk of being involved in an accident where there is no insurance coverage whatsoever.

It is also recommended that California drivers start now to shop their auto insurance, especially at renewal time.

It is important to take advantage of all discounts offered by insurers, like bundling home and auto with the same company, and it is anticipated that auto insurance may actually prove to be cheaper for existing customers insured before 2025. 

---

<sup>1</sup> Insurance Code § 11580.2.

<sup>2</sup> Vehicle Code § 16020, et seq.